

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,822	09/16/2003	Javit A. Drake	08935-297001 / M-5031	3431
26161 FISH & RICH	7590 08/01/2008 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 102		HODGE, ROBERT W		
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/664,822	DRAKE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ROBERT HODGE	1795		

	ROBERT HODGE	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 July 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 N The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ax no event, however, will the statutory priorid for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) of (1) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date in have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above; if checked, Any pely received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with AMENDMENTS.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
a.	sideration and/or search (see NOT w); er form for appeal by materially red	E below); ducing or simplifying the	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed to:		be entered and an e	planation of
 AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a l.
 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuities Phonts. 		•	
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Jonathan Crepeau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1795	

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants continue to reiterate the same arguments while at the same time copying the sections of the office action that they allege do not contain and every element of the claims, when in fact every single element of the claims has been addressed and applicants arguments are still not persuasive for reasons made of record. Furthermore it appears that applicants arguing semantics, wherein the reference discose to use one word for the same structural feature that applicants name with another word. For example applicants' claims recite a "fuel cartridge" and the prior art reference discoses a "fuel ampoule". Both structures store fuel and are structurally equivalent when the claims are given the broadest most reasonable interpretation as has been shown to applicants throughout prosecution. Regarding the claim amendments, the